EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE
EVALUATION / APPRAISAL FORM
(Category 1c - Ordinary Employees with no Supervisory Duties - Waiters)

	Personal Information of the Employee to b	e evaluated				馬及塔里
Name :	SAMILAH					
Department:	RESTAURANT	2				
Position/job title	WAITRESS					
Employment date	Years of Service:				-	
Period of Apprais	al: from april to	oktober				
Date of Appraisal	10.08,2022				- 1	
能包括证明	Personal data of Reporting Department	t Head	THE RE		William S.	P. Frig
Name:	SUR EKWANTORO]				
Department:	RESTAURANT]				
Position/job title	MAITRE D					
	PART A: Employee's Duties & Respons	ibilities	-			
A1. Provide a short	rt description of the Employee's Duties & Responsibilities			7.3		100000000000000000000000000000000000000
	it waiter service to ensure guest satisfaction. Taking customer orders and dend glasses. If I have chance to get Maitre D position and I want work with N			ges. Prepare	e tables by s	etting up
	PART B' Performance Evaluation Criteria - To be completed by t	he Reporting	Annraigar	Dvalnator		
		tegory score			AMMINISTRATION OF THE PARTY OF	
	Taring 110,10 Maximum	segury score	1.100, 100	Jelaximum		ASSESSMENT OF STREET
Bi	Personal Skills & Competencies	Rating 1-10 Reporting	Rating 1·10 Reviewing	Weighted rating	SCORE Appraiser	SCORE Reviewer / Second level Evaluator
国际基本等等。	Job Khowledge	10	9	25%	25.00	22,50
	2 Quality of Work	9	9	10%	9.00	9.00
	3 Productivity 4 Professionalism	9	9	9%	9.00	9.00
	5 Professional Experience	9	9	5%	4.50	4.50
	Implementation of Company Policies & Procedures (HANDEY: Lagrandia, Northerns) Customer Services Skills	9	9	10%	9,00	9,00
	Languages Skills (please refer in your comments languages & level) Ability to work under pressure	8 9	8 9	5% 8%	4.00 7.20	4.00 7.20
	0 Ability to follow instructions	9	8	10%	9.00	8.00
W. C	DI WOMAL WELCHMED COOPE - DI	tD1 C T.	B1 SCOR	E TOTAL	92,00	88,50
B1 score weight =55%	B1 TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE = B1 score weight	DI Score 10	(a)		50,60	1000
B2	Character / Personality/ General Presence	Rating 1-10 Reporting	Rating 1-10 Reviewing	Weighted rating	SCORE Appraiser / Evaluator	SCORE Reviewer / Second level Evaluator
	1 Integrity & Reliability 2 Assumption of Responsibility	9	9	20% 15%	18.00 13.50	18.00 13.50
	3 Sagacity / Self Confidence	9	9	15%	13,50	13,50
	4 Interaction with the guests 5 Working co-operatin with fellow employees & supervisors	9	9	12%	9.00	9.00
	6 General Appearance / Uniform 7 General Attitude	9	9 8	14% 14%	12.60 12.60	12.60 11.20
	A General Actitude	1 3		E TOTAL	90,00	88,60
B2 score weight =25%	B2 TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE = B2 score weight	*B2 Score To	tal		22,50	
B:		Rating 1-10 Reporting	Rating 1-10 Reviewing	Weighted rating	SCORE Appraiser / Evaluator	level Evaluator
	Punctuality Interest towards his assigned duties	9	9 8	25% 25%	22.50 22.50	22,50
	3 Commitment & Loyalty	-9	8	20%	18.00	16.00
L	4 Utilization of Working time	9	B3 SCOR	30% RE TOTAL	90,00	27.00 85,50
B3 score weight =20%	B3 TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE = B3 score weight	*B3 Score To			18,00	17.10
	Co. Co. Describe. Associated Description (setal D1 (D9 (D9))					ENTRE DE L'ANDRE DE L'

. General Comments	& Observations referring	to the employee			
			nan language also profession	al work	
ppraiser's Signature	1	1m			
	V	V	1		
)ate	18 -08-	2022			
5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PART D: APPRAIS	SAL/EVALUATI	ION REVIEW - To be comp	leted by the Hotel Manager	and the second second
, ,					
			Weighted Average	Average rating (Evaluator &	
			Per category	Reviewer)	
ersonal Skills & Compe	etencies	(B 1)	48,68	49,64	
haracter / Personality		(B 2)	22,15	22,15	
edication		(B 3)	17,10	17,10	
REW	TER'S TOTAL SCORE		or	88.89 or Average Score Reviewing I	Reporting &
D1. Improvement	Targets			reviewing i	avaruators.
eine					8
		-			
	nents and Suggestions urant und in der Bar sehr	r gut.Sie ist kein l	Maitre .		
		r gut.Sie ist kein l	Maitre .		
ie ist seh gut im Resta Hotel Manager		r gut. Sie ist kein l	Maitre .	ul	
ie ist seh gut im Resta		r gut.Sie ist kein l	Maitre	3.08 22	
ie ist seh gut im Resta	urant und in der Bar sehr		13	3.0872 a completed by the Employee	
ie ist seh gut im Resta Hotel Manager Date:	urant und in der Bar sehr		13	3.0272 e completed by the Employee	
ie ist seh gut im Resta Hotel Manager Date: agree with the above e	urant und in der Bar sehr		S on the evaluation—To be		
ie ist seh gut im Resta Hotel Manager Date: agree with the above e	urant und in der Bar sehr		S on the evaluation—To be		
Sie ist seh gut im Resta	urant und in der Bar sehr		S on the evaluation—To be		
Hotel Manager Date: agree with the above of Comments	PART E: EMPLOY		S on the evaluation—To be		
Hotel Manager Date: agree with the above of comments	PART E: EMPLOY	DE COMMENT	S on the evaluation—To be		
Hotel Manager Date: agree with the above of comments	PART E: EMPLOY		S on the evaluation—To be		
Hotel Manager Date: agree with the above of comments Signature of employee	PART E: EMPLOY	E COMMENT	S on the evaluation—To be	NO	
Hotel Manager Date: agree with the above of comments	PART E: EMPLOY evaluation/appraisal	EE COMMENT:	S on the evaluation—To be YES	NO	
Hotel Manager Date: agree with the above of comments Signature of employee Date: Raring (%)	PART E: EMPLOY evaluation / appraisal 13-01 Level of Performance	EE COMMENT: 2 - 2027 The overall standards The overall	S on the evaluation—To be YES Example 1 performance is excellent an	NO NO	rmance
Hotel Manager Date: agree with the above of comments Signature of employee Date: Raring (%)	PART E: EMPLOY evaluation / appraisal 13-05 Level of Performance Excellent	The overall standards The overall possibilitie The overall possibilitie	S on the evaluation—To be YES I performance is excellent an I performance is very good, mesof further improvement	planation dalways meets exceeds performance standards but atisfactory and performance st	rmance out there are
Hotel Manager Date: agree with the above of comments Signature of employee Date: Raring (%) 90 100	PART E: EMPLOY evaluation / appraisal Level of Performance Excellent Very Good	The overall standards The overall possibilitie The overall meet most Performanas well as of	S on the evaluation. To be YES I performance is excellent an I performance is very good, mes of further improvement. Il performance is viewed as so of the time but improvement ice is average or at boarder li occasional supervision during	planation dalways meets exceeds performance standards but atisfactory and performance st	rmance out there are candards are ment is needed
Total Manager Notel Manager Note: Signature of employee Oute: Raring (%) 90-100 80-89	PART E EMPLOY evaluation / appraisal Level of Performance Excellent Very Good Satisfactory	The overall standards The overall possibilitie The overall meet most to be given Performan improvement improvement.	S on the evaluation. To be YES I performance is excellent an I performance is viewed as sa of the time but improvement the cities average or at boarder lipoccasional supervision during the cities at the majority of times	planation and always meets exceeds performance standards be attisfactory and performance statis needed are levels substantial improver g his the execution of his duties below acceptable standards cell as frequent supervision du	rmance out there are tandards are ment is needed as. Written notice onsiderable